

SUGAR BEET MOLASSES: AN INGREDIENT TO ENHANCE MICRONUTRIENTS AND FUNCTIONALITY IN BREAD

Bojana V. Filipčev^{*1}

¹University of Novi Sad, Institute of Food Technology, 21000 Novi Sad,
Bulevar cara Lazara 1, Serbia

*Corresponding author:

Phone: +381214853778

Fax: +38121450725

E-mail address: bojana.filipcev@fins.uns.ac.rs

ABSTRACT: Osmotic dehydration in sugar beet molasses as hypertonic medium was used to treat apples, plums, carrots and red cabbage. The study was aimed at determining the quality parameters of bread supplemented with the following ingredients:

- freshly treated fruits/vegetables i.e. non-powdered form (at 10% and 30% level, flour basis),
- dried and grinded powders derived from them (at 5% and 10% level flour basis),
- sugar beet molasses (at 5% and 10% level flour basis).

The results showed that these ingredients significantly improved the mineral content of breads. The most marked was the increase in K content: for lower supplementation level, 89.1% (bread with molasses)-94.1% (bread with plum, powder). For higher supplementation level, the rise in K content was 157.5% (bread with cabbage, powder) and 167, 5% (bread with molasses). Contents of Mg and Ca were also significantly increased as compared to the control. The highest increase in Mg and Ca was obtained by supplementation with cabbage at higher applied dose (around 58% and 100%, respectively). Moreover, the supplemented breads showed significantly higher antioxidant potentials with the highest increase measured in the breads made with plum (62.5-82% for 5 and 10% levels, respectively). The molasses-based ingredients influenced the physical, textural and sensory properties of bread by lowering the specific volume, increasing the crumb firmness and changing the color and flavor. However, the lower supplementation levels had relatively mild effect on these properties. The breads made with apples and plums were scored highest for flavor.

Key words: *bread, molasses, minerals, antioxidant activity, texture, quality*

INTRODUCTION

Sugar molasses is concentrated liquid syrup which remains after repeated crystallizations during sugar refining process. It is a mixture of sugar, non-sugars and water from which it is impossible to crystallize sugar under any circumstances (Higginbotham and McCarthy, 1998; Olbrich, 1963). Molasses contains high amounts of solids (≈80%), of which around 50% is sugar. Depending on origin, two kinds of molasses can be distinguished: beet molasses and cane molasses. There are differences in the chemical composition of the

two molasses: beet molasses has more saccharose, very little invert, more non-sugar substances and ash (Higginbotham and McCarthy, 1998; Olbrich, 1963). Unlike cane molasses, beet molasses contain raffinose and betaine.

Besides approximately 50% of saccharose, 1% raffinose and less than 1% invert sugar, beet molasses contains considerable amounts of important micronutrients such as minerals, proteins, vitamins, choline, glutamine acid, organic acids, pectin, etc. (Šušić and Sinobad, 1989). It is an appreciable source of potassium, calcium and iron. Sugar beet molasses also con-

tains phenolic compounds (Maestro–Durán et al. 1996) although they have not been well documented. Phenolic substances have been mostly associated to the antioxidative action of material (Mišan, 2010). Aqueous extracts of cane and beet can be used for manufacturing antioxidants for functional food products (Chou, 2003). Taken together, these results suggest that cane and beet sugar molasses contain various compounds with beneficial effects for health. Some nutritionists highly appreciate sugar molasses and recommend its daily administration to protect health (Andrejević and Stevanović, 1967).

Sugar beet molasses is rarely used in human consumption due to its astringent off-flavor and aroma, in contrast to cane molasses. However, few researches showed that, at appropriate doses, sugar beet molasses can be successfully incorporated to various food (bread and bakery products (Pribiš et al., 2008; Šimurina et al., 2006; Lević et al., 2005a,b), meat products (Svrzić et al., 2006)) without drastic impairment of sensory properties. It has been also shown that sugar beet molasses can be used as osmotic agent due to high content of solids and liquid aggregate state (Filipčev et al., 2008; Lević et al., 2007; Filipčev et al., 2006). The use of molasses in processes of osmotic dehydration of fruits and vegetables potentially broadens the food applications of molasses. By its nature, fruits have great potential for use in bakery industry to improve the functional properties of products (Cvetković et al., 2009).

In this study, bread was enriched with sugar beet molasses or with fruit/vegetable ingredients obtained by osmotic dehydration in molasses with the objective to assess various quality aspects of bread. Two types of osmodehydrated ingredients were used: a) pieces of fruits/vegetables obtained after osmotic dehydration *as is*; b) dried and grinded powders of osmotically dehydrated fruits/vegetables.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

Ingredients used for breadmaking were standard and all from commercial source

and included wheat flour type 500, refined granulated sugar, fresh compressed yeast, vegetable fat, salt, skimmed milk and bakery improver. Molasses (80% solids) was supplied from the sugar manufacture in Bač, Serbia. Apples (var. Idared), plums (var. Stanley), carrots and red cabbage uniform in size and quality were obtained from the local market.

Before osmotic dehydration, fruits and vegetables were washed and cleaned. Carrots were peeled while apples and plums were analyzed with their skin on. Apple and carrot samples were cut with a cork borer in a cylindrical shape of 20 mm diameter and 20 mm height. Samples of plums and cabbage were cut into cubes of approximately 1 cm. The fruit pieces were separately placed into molasses contained beakers and lightly weighted to keep them immersed. The ratio of fruit cubes and molasses was 1:4 by weight. The beakers were covered with a plate to reduce moisture loss and placed in thermostat at constant temperature 55 °C. The samples were taken out from the osmotic medium after 5 hours, rinsed and lightly blotted with tissue paper to remove excess molasses.

Breads were prepared according to standard procedure under laboratory conditions as described in (Filipčev et al., 2010). The effect of ingredients was studied at two doses: lower (5% flour basis for powdered forms and pure molasses i.e. 10% flour basis for non-powdered ingredients) and higher doses (10% flour basis for powdered forms and pure molasses i.e. 30% flour basis for non-powdered ingredients). Bread variants enriched with osmotically dehydrated fruits/vegetables were compared with a control sample (white bread) and bread with added molasses. Breads were analyzed for chemical composition, antioxidative potential, textural properties and sensory quality.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Mineral composition of enriched breads

The addition of molasses and OD ingredients significantly affected the mineral content of enriched breads (Table 1).

Table 1.

Mineral content (g/100 g dry basis) of breads enriched with osmodehydrated fruits/vegetables

Minerals	Control	Molasses	Non-powdered form				Powdered form			
			Apple	Plum	Carrot	Cabbage	Apple	Plum	Carrot	Cabbage
K	207.50	392.30	255.05	278.17	314.50	323.63	284.00	402.80	354.30	376.50
		<i>555.00</i>	<i>338.01</i>	<i>391.75</i>	<i>496.75</i>	<i>524.50</i>	<i>355.10</i>	<i>492.50</i>	<i>496.20</i>	<i>534.37</i>
Na	619.20	612.90	610.41	620.76	634.40	640.61	605.50	603.20	623.40	632.50
		<i>609.00</i>	<i>584.18</i>	<i>589.40</i>	<i>632.10</i>	<i>652.05</i>	<i>593.80</i>	<i>592.80</i>	<i>631.50</i>	<i>649.70</i>
Mg	17.90	21.59	18.70	18.93	19.96	21.97	18.50	19.00	20.50	23.30
		<i>25.10</i>	<i>19.42</i>	<i>19.31</i>	<i>22.33</i>	<i>28.07</i>	<i>18.90</i>	<i>20.70</i>	<i>22.70</i>	<i>28.30</i>
Ca	33.86	41.66	36.03	38.43	45.55	46.58	37.25	40.11	49.20	51.61
		<i>50.54</i>	<i>39.39</i>	<i>44.20</i>	<i>64.86</i>	<i>68.00</i>	<i>40.46</i>	<i>46.10</i>	<i>63.70</i>	<i>68.31</i>

Values given in italics represent means obtained at higher levels of ingredient doses.

As compared to the control, the contents of potassium, magnesium and calcium were increased, of which the most prominent increase was observed regarding the potassium content. Sodium content did not significantly vary in comparison to the control but it tended to be lower in breads with fruit ingredients and higher in breads with vegetable ingredients.

In graham breads, K and Ca levels range from 250-300 mg/100 g and 25-30 mg/100 g, respectively (Biró and Lindner, 1988). Potassium and calcium levels observed here (255.0-555.0 mg/100 g d.b. for K and 36.03-50.54 mg/100 g d.b. for Ca) revealed that, by supplementing white bread with molasses and OD ingredients pre-treated in molasses in powdered or non-powdered form, the content of these minerals reaches or exceeds the levels found in graham breads which undoubtedly confirm the value-adding potential of molasses-based ingredients in bakery products. Moreover, dietary intake of potassium has been recently indicated as a public health concern for the general public in the policy document released by the U.S. Department of Agriculture and U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (2010) because the intake of potassium by Americans is much lower than recommended which additionally support

the nutritive potential of the tested ingredients.

Antioxidative potential of enriched breads

In this study, the breads made with various molasses-based ingredients were tested for the antioxidant content using DPPH, a stable radical, as the detection agent. The addition of molasses and molasses-based ingredients significantly increased the antioxidative activities in the breads depending on the type of ingredients used and the supplementation level. In the case of non-powdered ingredients, antioxidant potential was raised by 10.4% (in bread with 10% OD carrot) and by 54.8% (in bread with 30% OD plum) in comparison with white bread control. The highest increase (82%) in the antioxidative potential was measured in the bread enriched with 10% powdered OD plum. High increase figures were determined for some other bread variants; such as variant with 5% powdered OD plum and 10% powdered OD cabbage and apple with increase figures of 60-67% in comparison with control. The addition of molasses also significantly increased antioxidative potential which was increased by 24.2% and 42.6% for lower and higher applied dose, respectively.

Such effect is presumably due to natural presence of compounds with high antioxidative potency in ingredients. Plums, red cabbage and apples are rich sources of such bioactive compounds. Molasses also contain substances with high antioxidative potential which partly originate from sugar beet and partly are generated in the sugar manufacturing process (melanoidines, sugar anhydrides, etc.).

Sensory and textural properties of enriched breads

In bread variants with non-powdered ingredients, specific volume of bread decreased only at higher doses whereas powdered ingredients at all applied doses

significantly reduced specific volume in comparison to white control bread. The addition of ingredients in powdered form significantly increased bread crumb firmness in comparison to the control (Figure 1). This increase was most prominent at higher level of supplementation. In the case of non-powdered ingredients, firmness increase was less prominent; the majority of bread variants had similar firmness to that of the control whereas bread with 30% cabbage was significantly softer presumably due to high fiber content in cabbage. The highest firmness increase was measured for the bread with 10% molasses, 10% powdered OD apple and 30% non-powdered OD plum.




Figure 1. Textural properties of breads enriched with powdered and non-powdered molasses-based ingredients

Breads with higher firmness had significantly lower crumb elasticity but in the case of bread with non-powdered ingredients the elasticity of all variants did not significantly vary from the control.

Sensory evaluation showed differences between the samples. Powdered ingredients increased markedly crust and crumb color of the breads. Aroma profile was markedly altered in comparison to the typical fermented wheat dough aroma of the control bread especially in the samples made with the powdered ingredients; aroma on fruit/vegetable and caramel prevailed. Higher doses of powdered ingredients caused the presence of slightly burnt aftertaste which was especially characteristic for the samples made with OD plum powder. Similar aroma profile was registered in the samples made with OD fruit pieces but characterized with lower intensity and absence of burnt sensation. None of the bread variants were disqualified which means that taste and aroma of the breads were acceptable. Bread variants with fruit-based ingredients were scored higher for overall acceptability in comparison to those with vegetables.

CONCLUSIONS

This study demonstrated the value-adding potential of molasses and molasses based ingredients in preparation of bread. Their incorporation into standard wheat bread formulation produced considerable effect on physicochemical and sensory properties. Sugar beet molasses based ingredients significantly contributed to increased antioxidative potential and enhanced content of minerals (especially K and Ca) matching those of graham breads. Crumb and crust color of breads were darker and aroma was altered. Specific volume and textural properties of bread were impaired but to statistically significant extent only in the case of higher supplementation levels. Yet, the panelists did not find any of the bread variants unacceptable although bread variants with fruit-based ingredients were more preferred.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Here are presented the essential points of the dissertation released as a part of the

research within the project TR-20112, under the direction of Prof. Dr. Ljubinko Lević and support of the Ministry of Science and Technological Development, Republic of Serbia.

REFERENCES

1. Andrejević, M., Stevanović, M. (1967). *Stara i stara*. Beograd-Zagreb: Medicinska knjiga.
2. Biró, Gy., Lindner K., 1988. *Tápanyag-táblázat (tápanyagtáblázat és tápanyagszükséglet)*. Budapest: Medicina Könyvkiadó.
3. Chou, C.C. (2003). Preparation antioxidants enriched functional food products from sugar cane and beet. Patent, United States Application 20030198694.
4. Cvetković, B., Filipčev, B., Bodroža-Solarov, M., Bardić, Ž., Sakač, M. (2009). Chemical composition of dried fruits as a value added ingredient in bakery product. *Food&Feed Research*, 36(1-2), 15-20.
5. Filipčev, B., Lević, Lj., Bodroža-Solarov, M., Mišljenović, N., Koprivica, G. (2010). Quality characteristics and antioxidant properties of breads supplemented with sugar beet molasses-based ingredients. *International Journal of Food Properties*, 13(5), 1035-1053.
6. Filipčev, B., Lević, Lj., Pribiš, V., Kabić, D. (2008). Melasa šećerne repe kao pogodan hipertoničan rastvor za osmotski tretman jabuke. In *Zbornik radova. 13 (14). XIII savetovanje o biotehnologiji* (pp. 323-329). Čačak: Agronomski fakultet.
7. Filipčev, B., Lević, Lj., Šimurina, O., Kuljanin, T. (2006). Priprema voća postupkom osmotske dehidracije u melasi šećerne repe sa ciljem njegove primene u pekarskoj industriji. *PTEP*, 10(5), 154-157.
8. Higginbotham, J.D., McCarthy, J. (1998). Quality and storage of molasses. In P.W. van der Poel, H. schiweck, & T. Schwartz, *Sugar Technology-Beet and cane sugar manufacture*, (pp.973-992). Berlin: Verlag Dr. Albert Bartens KG.
9. Lević, Lj., Filipčev, B., Šimurina, O., Kuljanin, T. (2005a). Promena reoloških osobina testa i parametara kvaliteta pšeničnog hleba sa dodatkom melase šećerne repe. *PTEP* 9(5), 129-131.
10. Lević, Lj., Filipčev, B., Šimurina, O., Svrzić, G., Mastilović, J. (2005b). Supplementing specialty breads with sugar beet molasses. In *Proceedings of the 3rd International Congress FLOUR-BREAD '05 and 5th Croatian Congress of Cereal Technologists* (pp. 246-252). Osijek: Faculty of Food Technology.
11. Lević, Lj., Pribiš, V., Filipčev, B., Kabić, D. (2007). Nutritional and sensory quality of apple osmotically dehydrated in saccharose and sugar beet molasses. In *Procee-*

- dings of the I International congress FOOD TECHNOLOGY, QUALITY AND SAFETY* (pp. 179-185). Novi Sad: Institute of Food Technology.
12. Maestro-Durán, R., Borja, R., Jiménez, A. M., León, M. M. (1996). Phenolic compounds in sugar beet molasses. *Agrochimica*, 40, 173-179.
 13. Mišan, A. (2010). Antioxidative properties of food supplemented with medicinal plants. *Food&Feed Research*, 37(2), 81-85.
 14. Olbrich, H. (1963). The Molasses. Fermentation Technologist, *Institute für Zuckerindustrie*, Berlin, Germany.
 15. Pribiš, V., Lević, Lj., Filipčev, B., Šimurina, O. (2008). Nutritivne i senzorske osobine finog kvasnog pecivasa melasom šećerne repe. *PTEP*, 12 (3), 158-161.
 16. Šimurina, O., Lević, Lj., Pribiš, V., Pajin, B. (2006). Melasa šećerne repe kao dodatak u proizvodnji čajnog peciva. *PTEP*, 10 (3-4), 93-96.
 17. Šušić, S., Sinobad, V. (1989). Istraživanja u cilju unapređenja industrije šećera Jugoslavije – o hemijskim sastavima pčelinjeg meda i melase šećerene repe i o njihovim biološkim vrednostima za ljudsku ishranu. *Hemijska Industrija*, 43 (Supl. 1-2), 10-21.
 18. Svrzić, G., Lević, Lj., Pribiš, V., Popović, M., Bošnjaković, L. (2006). The possibility of use sugar beet pulp in production of fine ground cooked sausages. *PTEP*, 10(1-2), 36-38.
 19. U.S. Department of Agriculture and U.S. Department of Health and Human Services. (2010). Dietary Guidelines for Americans (7th Edition). Washington, DC: U.S. Government Printing Office.

МЕЛАСА ШЕЋЕРНЕ РЕПЕ: САСТОЈАК КОЈИ ПОБОЉШАВА САДРЖАЈ МИКРОНУТРИЈЕНАТА И ФУНКЦИОНАЛНОСТ ХЛЕБА

Бојана В. Филипчев¹

¹Универзитет у Новом Саду, Институт за прехранбене технологије у Новом Саду,
21000 Нови Сад, Булевар цара Лазара бр. 1, Србија

Сажетак: У раду је испитиван ефекат додатка чисте меласе и воћа/поврћа (јабука, шљива, мрква и црвени купус), претходно осмотски дехидрираних у меласи шећерне репе, на квалитет хлеба. Испитиване су следеће групе суплемената у две дозе:

- чиста меласа (5 и 10%, рачунато на брашно),
- свежи (у облику комадића осмотски дехидрираног воћа/поврћа, 10 и 30%, рачунато на брашно),
- у праху (осушено и самлевено осмотски дехидрирано воће/поврће, 5 и 10%, рачунато на брашно).

Добијени резултати су показали да су испитивани додаци утицали на значајно побољшање минералног састава хлеба. Најзначајније повећање у односу на контролни је забележено у садржају калијума: за ниже дозе додатака, највеће повећање се кретало у опсегу 89.1% (хлеб са меласом) -94.1% (хлеб са шљивом у праху), док су за више дозе додатака забележена следећа максимална повећања: 157.5% (хлеб са купусом у праху) и 167.5% (хлеб са меласом). Хлебове са додацима су такође имали значајно више садржаје магнезијума и калцијума у односу на контролни хлеб. Највиши садржаји Mg и Ca су одређени у хлебовима са додатком више дозе купуса у праху (58 и 100%, респективно). Хлебове са додацима су показивали значајно виши антиоксидациони потенцијал, при чему су највиши потенцијали забележени у хлебу са додатком шљиве у праху, 62.5-820% за 5 и 10% додатка, респективно.

Додаци на бази меласе су утицали на промену физичких, текстурих и сензорских својстава хлеба у правцу смањења специфичне запремине, повећања чврстоће средине и потамњивања боје. Међутим, при нижим дозама додатака, ове промене су биле мање изражене. Хлебове са додатком јабуке и шљиве су оцењени као најбољи по укусу.

Кључне речи: хлеб, меласа, минералне материје, антиоксидациона активност, текстурих својства, квалитет.

Received: 9 November 2011

Accepted: 30 November 2011

GUIDELINES FOR AUTHORS

Food and Feed Research (ISSN 2217-5369) publishes peer-reviewed original scientific papers, short communications and review articles.

Original research papers report results of original scientific studies. The material should not have been previously published, except in a preliminary form. Experimental procedures should be presented in sufficient detail to enable verification of the data. The length of a full paper should be about 8 to 10 manuscript pages, including figures, tables and references.

Short communications is a concise but complete description of a limited investigation, which will not be included in a later paper. It is suitable for presenting e.g. details of new models or hypotheses, innovative methods, techniques etc. Short communication should not be longer than 6 to 8 manuscript pages, while the style of main sections needs not to conform to that of full-length papers.

Review articles are critical and up-to-date papers, covering subjects falling within the scope of the journal, which are of active current interest.

Papers *previously reported* at a congress, symposium, workshop etc. will be also considered for publishing (under the same or different title) if they have not been published in proceedings as full papers. A note about paper presentation at a relevant conference should be made in the footer of the first page.

Procedure. All manuscripts received for consideration will be acknowledged by the editorial office. The manuscript will be given a reference number, which the authors should quote in all subsequent correspondence. All manuscripts will be peer-reviewed, which will be the ground for the Editor and the Editorial Board to take decision about the acceptance of the manuscripts. Contributions may be rejected without reviewing if considered inappropriate for the journal.

Copyright. The authors bear the sole responsibility for the content of the contributions. The Editorial Board assumes that by submitting their papers the authors have not violated any internal rules or regulations of their institutions related to the content of the contributions. The authors are requested to submit a "Statement of authors", available.

Previous paper versions. Papers *previously reported* at a congress, symposium, workshop etc. will be also considered for publishing (under the same or different title) if they have not been published in proceedings as full papers. A note about paper presentation at a relevant conference should be made in the footer of the first page.

Electronic submission. The manuscripts should be sent as an attachment to editor@fins.uns.ac.rs

Manuscript. Papers must be written in English and authors are urged for clarity, brevity and accuracy of information and language. Authors whose first language is not English should have their papers checked for linguistic accuracy by an English language professional

Submitted manuscripts should conform to the format explained below. Note that the Guidelines for authors and an Article Template are available on www.foodandfeed.fins.uns.ac.rs. Authors are requested to submit also a Statement of Authors, available on the same website.

All manuscripts should provide that the text, tables, and figures are included in a single Microsoft Word file in Arial font, 11 pts, with single spacing, 2.5 cm all four margins. In Page setup, the format A4, not Letter should be chosen. *SI (Système International)* units should be used.

Sections of the manuscript must be in the following order: Title, Abstract, Key words, Introduction, Materials and Methods, Results and Discussion, Conclusions, Acknowledgements, References, Abstract in Serbian

Title should be limited in length, but should comprise important key words so that the reader has an understanding of the manuscript content. It should be typed in Arial font, 12 pts, single spaced, bold and in uppercase letters. A type of article (i.e. original research paper, short communication, review paper) should be proposed above the title. Full name(s), middle initial(s) and surname(s), as well as affiliation of author(s), with asterisk next to the name of the corresponding author, should be given below the title. Affiliation should be given in a way to

follow the institution's organization starting from the top (e.g. University of Novi Sad, Institute of Food Technology, Center of Feed technology). E-mail address of the corresponding author is given below the names of authors.

Abstract should be typed in Arial 10, explain the aim of the paper and include the most relevant results and conclusions. No abbreviations or references should appear in the summary. Directly below the summary, authors should provide the key words. The manuscript also must have a title, authors, extended abstract and key words in Serbian (Cyrillic) at the end of the paper (see Article template).

Key words should be typed in Arial 10 italic, should express the main topic of the paper and should not contain more than 10 words or phrases, which should be separated by commas.

Introduction. It is particularly important that the introductory part be as brief as possible and clear in description of the aims of investigation. Previous relevant work regarding the topic of the manuscript should be included with references. The references should be cited as follows: surname of the author(s) and year of publishing in brackets. Latin words, phrases and abbreviations, including generic and specific names, should be written in italic.

Materials and Methods. Experimental part should be written clearly and in sufficient detail to allow the work to be verified. Detailed description is required only for new techniques and procedures, while the known methods must be cited as references. For chemicals and apparatus used full data should be given including the name, company/manufacturer and country of origin. Statistical analysis should also be included.

Results and Discussion can be written as two separate or one combined section. Discussion should not be merely the repetition of the obtained results. Each table and figure must have all necessary information to be understood independently of the text. The same data should not be presented as both figures and tables. Whenever possible formulae and equations should be written in one line. All figures (graphs, photographs, diagrams, as well as their symbols) must be presented in distinguished black and white versions, without use of grey/shaded options and with good contrast (see Article template). Photos must have resolution of at least 300 dpi and be clearly visible when printed out. Figure title should be placed at the bottom of each figure, while title of tables should appear above the tables. Values on the x- and y-axes must be clear and visible, decimal numbers must have dots and not commas. Footnotes to tables should be indicated by superscript letters or symbols. Experimental variability and statistical significance should be stated clearly.

Conclusion. It must not be merely the repetition of the content of the preceding sections. It may not be omitted or merged with the previous section.

Acknowledgements (short) for support/assistance should be placed just below English key words, whereas full text should be given at the end of the manuscript, before references (see Article template).

References. Authors bear the responsibility for the accuracy of the references and therefore each reference should be thoroughly checked. References should include recent international publications. If the original literature cited has not been available, the authors should quote the source used. The references should be numerated in alphabetical order. Sources and volume numbers should be written in italic.

References should be cited as in examples below:

Journals:

1. Ganz, T., Olbina, G., Girelli, D., Nemeth, E., Westerman, M. (2008). Immunoassay for human serum hepcidin. *Blood*, 112 (10), 4292-4297.
2. Nemeth, E., Tuttle, M.S., Powelson, J., Vaughn, M.B., Donovan, A., Ward, D.M., Ganz, T., Kaplan, J. (2004). Hepcidin Regulates Cellular Iron Efflux by Binding to Ferroportin and Inducing Its Internalization, *Science*, 306, 2090 – 2093.
3. Ahmed, I.A., & Robinson, R.K. (1999). The ability of date extracts to support the production of aflatoxins. *Food Chemistry*, 66 (3), 307-312.

Books:

1. Fenwick, R., Bao, Y. (2004). *Phytochemicals in Health and Disease*, University of Southern California, Los Angeles, USA.
2. Massart, D. L., & Kauffmann, L. (1983). *Interpretation of analytical data by use of cluster analysis*. New York: Wiley.

Chapters in the book with several authors:

1. Marasas, W. F. O. (1996). Fumonisin: History, worldwide occurrence and impact. In L. S. Jackson, J. W. DeVries, & L. B. Bullerman, *Fumonisin in food, advances in experimental medicine and biology*, vol. 392 (pp. 1-18). New York: Plenum Press.

PhD Thesis:

1. Radeka, S. (2005). Grape mash maceration and varietal aroma of Malvazija istarska wine, *PhD Thesis*, Faculty of Agriculture, University of Zagreb, Croatia.

Patents:

1. Fouache, C., Tamion, R., Fleche, G., Moine, D., Fuertes, P. (2002). Process for the conversion of organic materials, particularly saccharide materials, comprising an enzymatic oxidation step in the presence of ruthenium or palladium. *US patent 6500649*.

Symposiums, Congresses:

1. Harvey, J. (1992). Changing waste protein from a waste disposal problem to a valuable feed protein source: a role for enzymes in processing offal, feathers and dead birds. In *Proceedings of Alltech's Eight Annual Symposium*, Nicholasville, Kentucky.
2. Noel, S., & Collin, S. (1995). Trans-2-nonenal degradation products during mashing. In *Proceedings of the 25th European brewery convention congress* (pp. 483-490). Oxford: IRL Press.

Official Methods:

1. American Oil Chemists' Society (A.O.C.S.) (1987). *Official and Tentative Methods*. Ba 11-65, Nitrogen Solubility Index (NSI). Champaign, Illinois.
2. Association of Official Analytical Chemistry (A.O.A.C.) (1984). *Official Methods of Analysis*. 14th ed., Washington, DC.

Software:

1. STATISTICA (Data Analysis Software System) (2006). v.7.1., Stat-Soft, Inc., USA (www.statsoft.com).

Websites:

1. Technical report on the Food Standards Agency project G010008 (2002). Evaluating the risks associated with using GMOs in human foods, University of Newcastle, UK (<http://www.foodsafetynetwork.ca/gmo/gmnewcastlelreport.pdf>).

Abstract in Serbian should be written after the References and typed in Serbian (Cyrilic), Arial font 10 pts, with the title (in uppercase bold letters), full name(s), middle initial(s) and surname(s), and affiliation of author(s). The Serbian abstract must be in extended form, not just a translation of English summary. Key words should be given below the abstract. Note: For Serbian language non-speaking authors, abstract in Serbian will be provided by the Editorial office.